CONWAY HIGH 2301 Church Street Conway, SC 29526 9-12 High School GRADES 1,464 Students ENROLLMENT Rick Maxey 843-488-0662 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Gerrita Postlewait 843-488-6700 BOARD CHAIR Will Garland 843-358-8002 THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: G00D Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 9 4 3 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: EXCELLENT ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: Z This school met 20 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

### PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Below Average	N/A
2002	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003	Good	Excellent	No
2004	Good	Excellent	No

### DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

# HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	l	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	76.6	N/A	N/A	71.7	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	12.0	N/A	N/A	14.7	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	11.4	N/A	N/A	13.7	N/A	N/A	

#### 

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP							
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours					
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	7.7	7.8					
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	9.9	7.9					
Seniors who met the grade point average	21.3	43.8					

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

# Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours Number of Students 331 164 Number of Diplomas 250 122 Rate 75.5% 75.2%

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS										
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate					
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective			
All Students	310	97.1	272	7.7	331	75.5	YES			
Gender										
Male	157	97.5	141	8.5	165	75.8	N/A			
Female	148	96.6	131	6.9	166	75.3	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	199	99.0	164	12.2	196	79.6	N/A			
African-American	102	93.1	106	0.9	132	69.7				
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A			
Hispanic	2	I/S	1	I/S	2	I/S	N/A			
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	1	I/S	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
Non disabled	278	98.6	246	8.5	278	84.2	N/A			
Disabilities other than speech	32	84.4	26	0.0	53	30.2	YES			
Migrant Status										
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A			
Non-migrant	276	96.7	272	7.7	N/A	N/A	N/A			
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	1	I/S	N/A			
Non-Limited English Proficient	304	97.0	272	7.7	328	75.6	N/A			
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	124	94.4	121	2.5	167	65.3	N/A			
Full-pay meals	182	98.9	151	11.9	162	85.8	N/A			

HSAP PERFORMANCE	BY GR	JUP,							ш,
	Enrollment 1st	8	% Below Basis	ş /	/ ,	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation Of the Participation
	[ et ]	% Tested	, \ 8	% Basic	% Proficient	,	<i>[ij]</i>	e   E	Participation Ot
	1 1 1 5	[ ] [ [a]	/ \oldows	8	\{\bar{b}{2}\}	1 \$	\varphi \var	3   £ £	;   <u>i</u>
	g [1]	·/ ~	/ %	/ ``	/ %	/ %	18/2	/ å å	/ 48 2
					/	/	<u> </u>		
Engli All Students	sh/Langua 353	ge Arts - 3	State Peri	ormance 27.8	Objective 31.7	= 33.3% 24.9	63.0	YES	YES
Gender	333	99.4	13.7	21.0	31.7	24.9	03.0	IES	TEC
Male	175	99.4	18.3	22.6	34.8	24.4	64.0	N/A	N/A
riale Female	178	99.4	13.2	32.8	28.7	25.3	62.1	N/A N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	170	33.4	13.2	32.0	20.7	23.3	02.1	IN/A	111/7
White	217	100.0	9.6	23.0	35.9	31.6	74.6	YES	YES
African-American	129	98.4	24.6	36.9	25.4	13.1	44.3	YES	YES
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	96.4 I/S	1/S	30.9 I/S	1/S	13.1 I/S	1/S	I/S	1/5
Hispanic	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/5
American Indian/Alaskan	1	1/S	I/S	I/S	1/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
Disability Status		1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3
Not Disabled	286	99.7	7.6	25.6	36.8	30.0	74.4	N/A	N/
Disabled	67	98.5	52.5	37.7	8.2	1.6	11.5	NO NO	YES
Migrant Status	01	30.5	32.3	31.1	0.2	1.0	11.0	NO	1
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Non-Migrant	353	99.4	15.7	27.8	31.7	24.9	63.0	N/A	N/
English Proficiency	333	33.4	13.7	21.0	31.7	24.3	03.0	IN/A	IN/
Limited English Proficient	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/:
Non-Limited English Proficient	350	99.4	15.2	28.1	31.6	25.1	63.3	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status	000	30.4	10.2	20.1	01.0	20.1	00.0	14/71	14/
Subsidized meals	204	99.0	23.1	34.4	25.6	16.9	49.7	YES	YE
Full-pay meals	149	100.0	5.6	18.9	39.9	35.7	81.1	N/A	N/
	Mathemati	•	•		•	•			
All Students	352	98.9	16.3	20.4	32.8	30.5	69.8	YES	YE
Gender	332	30.3	10.5	20.4	32.0	30.3	03.0	ILO	1 -
Male	174	98.3	17.1	17.1	29.9	36.0	69.5	N/A	N/A
Female	178	99.4	15.5	23.6	35.6	25.3	70.1	N/A	N/
Racial/Ethnic Group	170	33.4	10.0	25.0	33.0	20.0	70.1	IN/A	14/
White	216	99.5	10.5	17.2	34.0	38.3	76.6	YES	YE
African-American	129	97.7	25.4	25.4	31.1	18.0	59.0	YES	YE
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	1/S	1/S	1/S	I/S	I/S	1/S	I/S	1/3
Hispanic	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	'/
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/:
Disability Status		1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/
Not Disabled	285	99.6	6.9	20.2	36.5	36.5	80.1	N/A	N/
Disabled	67	95.5	59.0	21.3	16.4	3.3	23.0	YES	YE
Migrant Status	- 01	30.0	00.0	21.0	10.4	0.0	20.0	120	
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Non-Migrant	352	98.9	16.3	20.4	32.8	30.5	69.8	N/A	N/
English Proficiency	1 002	30.3	10.0	20.4	02.0	00.0	00.0	13//1	. 11/
Limited English Proficient	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/
Non-Limited English Proficient	349	98.9	16.1	20.3	32.8	30.7	70.1	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status	040	50.5	.0.1	20.0	02.0	50.7	, 0.1	14// 1	1 1/2
Subsidized meals	203	98.5	23.6	23.1	33.3	20.0	60.5	YES	YE
Full-pay meals	149	99.3	6.3	16.8	32.2	44.8	82.5	N/A	N/

## **Abbreviations for Missing Data**

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

# DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Source Books				2001001	
SCHOOL PROFILE	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School	
Students (n= 1,464)					
Retention rate	10.6%	Down from 17.7%	9.7%	9.1%	
Attendance rate	95.4%	Down from 95.6%	95.6%	96.0%	
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	9.7% 19.4%	Up from 6.1% Down from 20.2%	7.0% 14.4%	5.8% 12.7%	
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	12.9% 5.3%	Down from 13.0% No change	12.7% 1.5%	9.8% 1.6%	
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	10.4% 41.4%	Up from 5.4%	9.9% 40.3%	10.2% 53.8%	
Annual dropout rate	2.1%	Up from 1.7%	2.7%	2.7%	
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	1.9%	Down from 7.5%	5.9%	3.6%	
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	598	Up from 472	364	466	
Students participating in worked-based experiences	13.5%	Down from 16.3%	25.1%	25.7%	
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	74.5%	Down from 74.9%	72.9%	77.7%	
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	98.6%	99.3%	
Teachers (n= 106)					
Teachers with advanced degrees	53.8%	Down from 55.6%	50.0%	52.0%	
Continuing contract teachers	82.1%	Down from 87.0%	82.1%	82.1%	
Highly qualified teachers**	92.0%	N/A	90.5%	89.5%	
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	8.8%		8.8%	8.6%	
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	85.7% 95.7%	Down from 87.0% Up from 94.7%	86.2% 95.1%	86.2% 95.3%	
Average teacher salary	\$43,425	Up 1.9%	\$40,703	\$41,060	
Prof. development days/teacher	10.9 days	Down from 11.9 days	10.8 days	10.6 days	
School					
Principal's years at school	4.0	Up from 3.0	3.5	3.0	
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	26.9 to 1	Down from 27.4 to 1	24.2 to 1	26.4 to 1	
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	89.1% \$6,757	No change No change	89.3% \$6,757	90.0% \$6,310	
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	58.3%	Down from 60.5%	58.2%	57.9%	
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No change	Good	Excellent	
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	85.8% Yes	Down from 91.2% No change	86.4% Yes	89.3% Yes	
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Average	N/A	Good	Good	
·		Our District	St	ate	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty	schools**	87.9%	92.	.0%	
Highly qualified teachers in high povert	lighly qualified teachers in high poverty schools**			1% Objective	
Highly qualified teachers in this school**		State Objective 65.0%	Yes		
Other attendance in this sale of		05.070			

<sup>\*\*</sup>NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

95.3%

Yes

Student attendance in this school

### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Conway High School experienced a number of successes during the 2003-2004 school year. Students and teachers worked very hard, both during and after school, to get 493 students ready to take either the South Carolina Exit Examination (BSAP) or the new South Carolina High School Assessment Program Examination (HSAP) in April 2004. Our students' preparation for these important exams was enhanced by school-based initiatives and by their participation in the district's new Measures of Academic Progress program, an assessment tool that provided teachers with periodic reports of students' academic strengths/needs in the areas of reading, language, and mathematics. We are hopeful that our testing results will be a continuation of last year's positive gains.

Just a few of the accomplishments of the 2003-2004 school year that we celebrate include the following. Our mock trial team, which was just re-established three years ago, finished sixth in state competition, and our NJROTC program was again recognized by the Department of the Navy as a Distinguished NJROTC Unit. The Class of 2004, consisting of 261 graduates, earned \$2,738,940 in scholarship monies. Sixty-three of these seniors qualified for the LIFE Scholarship, and twelve earned the prestigious Palmetto Fellows Scholarship. In terms of curriculum, we added two more Advanced Placement (AP) courses (World History and Biology), bringing our number of AP course offerings to six. Jostens' Renaissance Program, national school incentive program that began at Conway High School, has been re-established at Conway High School and recognizes student academic accomplishments through a green and gold card award program. Also, this year yet another Conway High School teacher successfully completed the National Board Certification program.

During the 2003-2004 school year, Conway High School vigorously pursued the performance goals it has set to increase our students' academic achievement and to support our district's initiatives for attaining educational excellence. Our teachers are involved in a continuous process of professional development, data analysis, and evaluation to ensure that our students receive the best education possible.

Conway High School enjoys the support of an active community that is committed to making our school an exemplary high school. Together, students, teachers, parents, and community members will work jointly to continue our school's movement forward during the 2004-2005 school year.

Rick Maxey, Principal

Doris Harris, School Improvement Council Chairperson 2003-04

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	85	199	126				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	89.2%	71.4%	80.8%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment 87.8% 75.3% 74.6°							
Percent satisfied with home-school relations 67.5% 82.2% 66.4%							
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.							